#### REMARKS

## Claim Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 112

Claims 10-13 have been objected to because of an informality. Claims 14-18 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant has amended claims 1, 10, 14, and 16-18, as indicated in the Listing of Claims above, in accordance with the recommendations of the examiner in the outstanding office action, and as explained below.

Claim 1 is amended to add a missing semi-colon at the end of paragraph (a).

Claim 10 is amended to remove an inappropriate period in line 2, and is also amended to clarify that the second sequence variation is effective to substantially eliminate the production of sequencing products from interaction of the internal quantitation standard with a sequencing primer that is "effective for producing sequencing fragments" from the first amplified sample fragment.

Claim 14 is amended to add the word "and" at the end of paragraph (b), and also to clarify that the second sequence variation is effective to substantially eliminate the production of sequencing products from interaction of the internal quantitation standard with a sequencing primer that is "effective for producing sequencing fragments" from the first amplified sample fragment.

Claim 16 is amended to correctly reflect dependency from claim 15.

Claim 17 is amended to replace the word "of" with the word "or."

Claim 18 is amended to add a reference to the "second region," which was inadvertently omitted in the original claim.

The above amendments are responsive to the Examiner's objections to claims 10-13 and rejection of claims 14-18, and the Examiner's specific recommendations for placing the claims in condition for allowance.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Applicant submits that the claims are believed to be in condition for allowance.

Applicant therefore requests that the rejections be withdrawn and the claims be allowed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

**HOLLAND & HART LLP** 

Date: February 18, 2004

Christopher L. Wight Registration No. 31,680 Attorney for Applicant

Holland & Hart LLP 555 Seventeenth Street, Suite 3200 Denver, CO 80202-3979 Telephone: (801) 595-7823

Customer No.: 26582

3195335\_2.DOC

# PATENT APPLICATION Attorney Docket No. 49493.830020.US3 Express Mail No. EV 357959827 US

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Jean-Michel Lacroix

Conf. No.: 4228

Serial No.: 10/082,546

Examiner: Horlick, Kenneth R.

Filed: February 25, 2002

Art Unit: 1637

For: METHOD AND KIT FOR

Atty. Docket No.: 49493.830020.US3 (0214)

QUANTITATION AND NUCLEIC ACID SEQUENCING OF NUCLEIC ACID

Date:

February 18, 2004

ANALYTES IN A SAMPLE

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL**

Box NON-FEE AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The undersigned hereby certifies that the enclosed:

1. Response to First Office Action and Amendment; and

2. Return Card;

relating to the above application, were deposited as "Express Mail," Mailing Label No. EV 357959827 US with the United States Postal Service, addressed to Box NON-FEE AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-

1450, on this February 18, 2004.

February 18, 2004

Mailer

February 18, 2004

Christopher L. Wight, Reg. No. 31,680

HOLLAND & HART LLP

555 17th Street, Suite 3200, Post Office Box 8749

Denver, Colorado 80201 Telephone (801) 595-7823 Facsimile: (801) 364-9124

3195335\_2.DOC